Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/750,806	BRIDGE ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
review	Jean B. Fleurantin	2162
		-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 19 May 2008.
1. Improper Request – The Rereason(s):	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	at Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ied nacordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	d the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Jean B. Fleurantin</u> .	(3) <u>John Breene</u> .
(2) <u>Chat C. Do</u> .	(4)
/Chat C. Do/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193	/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193